ITEM NO: 1.  
SUBJECT: Consideration and Necessary Action on Resolution Continuing from August 1, 2016, to September 5, 2016, the public hearing to determine whether the Authority should proceed with the formation of the Community Facilities District No. 2016-1, issue bonds of the District to pay for the facilities and authorize the rate and method of a special tax to be levied within the Community Facilities District No. 2016-1 for the purposes described in the Resolution of Intention.

DISCUSSION: To allow for the election of the land owners to authorize the issuance of bonds to construct the facilities contemplated by the formation of this Community Facilities District (CFD) by mailed ballots to be counted and determined by this Council, as opposed to conducting a more expensive and time consuming election proceeding similar to a Proposition 218 majority protest proceeding, Staff has been involved in an effort to procure from 100% of the property owners affected an executed petition for formation of a Community Facilities District, including waivers.

There are 16 separate parcels in the CFD. Those 16 parcels are owned by seven different property owners. Staff has signed petitions by five property owners who account for 14 of the 16 parcels. One remaining owner has indicated a desire to consult with attorneys or other professionals relative to the effect of executing the petition, and has requested more time to consider executing the petition. The owner of the majority of the parcels who has signed a petition, has indicated that he may wish to withdraw his petition and staff is currently engaging in an effort to convince him that he should not do so. As a result more time is needed to secure petitions from all parcel owners.

Because it appears possible that the City will have executed petitions by 100% of the affected property owners, and simply does not at this point because of the desires of these remaining four property owners to further consider whether they should execute the petition, or should not withdraw petitions, Government Code §53325 authorizes the continuance of the hearing on establishment of the Community Facilities District and issuance of the bonds to a date within six months of the original hearing date which was June 6, 2016.

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt Resolution continuing hearing on formation of CFD and other actions to September 5, 2016.

/s/ Neal E. Costanzo 07/29/2016
Neal E. Costanzo, Authority Attorney Date
RESOLUTION NO. ___

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE NORTH SELMA SEWER FINANCING AUTHORITY MAKING FINDINGS AND DETERMINATIONS OF COMPLEXITY OF THE PROPOSED COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICT AND CONTINUING PUBLIC HEARING ON FORMATION OF COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICT NO. 2016-1, TO ISSUE BONDS OF THE DISTRICT TO PAY FOR FACILITIES AND TO TAKE OTHER ACTIONS RELATED THERETO

WHEREAS, on May 2, 2016, this Board of Directors (the “Board”) of the North Selma Sewer Financing Authority, California (the “Authority”), pursuant to the Mello-Roos Community Facilities Act of 1982, as amended, Chapter 2.5 (commencing with Section 53311) of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5 of the California Government Code (the “Act”), adopted Resolution No. 2016-1 NSSFA entitled “A Resolution of the Board of Directors of the North Selma Sewer Financing Authority Declaring its Intent to Establish the City of Selma, Community Facilities District No. 2016-1 (North Selma Sewer Main Project) and To Authorize the Levy of a Special Tax Within Said District” (the “Resolution of Intention to Establish CFD No. 2016-1”) stating its intention to form the “City of Selma, Community Facilities District No. 2016-1 (North Selma Sewer Main Project)” (the “Community Facilities District No. 2016-1” or the “District”)

WHEREAS, on May 2, 2016, the Board of Directors also adopted its Resolution of Intention to Incur Indebtedness of CFD No. 2016-1 (“Resolution of Intention to Incur Indebtedness” and, together with the Resolution of Intention to Establish CFD No. 2016-1, the “Resolution of Intention”) stating its intention to incur bonded indebtedness within proposed Community Facilities District No. 2016-1 in an amount not to exceed $9,000,000, to finance the purchase, construction, modification, expansion, improvement or rehabilitation of the facilities identified in Attachment “B” to Resolution of Intention to Establish CFD No. 2016-1, including the incidental expenses related thereto, and incorporated herein by this reference (collectively, the “Facilities”); and

WHEREAS, notice was published as required by law relative to the intention of the Board of Directors to form proposed Community Facilities District No. 2016-1, to incur bonded indebtedness within Community Facilities District No. 2016-1, and of the time and place of the public hearing; and

WHEREAS, on June 6, 2016, the Board of Directors conducted and then continued to July 5, 2016, the public hearing to determine whether it should proceed with the formation of the Community Facilities District No. 2016-1, issue bonds of the District to pay for the Facilities and authorize the rate and method of apportionment (the “Rate and Method”) of a special tax to be levied within the Community Facilities District No. 2016-1 for the purposes described in the Resolution of Intention; and

WHEREAS, on July 5, 2016 the Board of Directors again continued the public hearing to August 1, 2016 because of complexities that have arisen relative to procuring from 100% of the property owners the executed petitions to create the Community Facilities District; and
WHEREAS, a complexity of the proposed District within the meaning of Government Code §53325 has arisen and continues to exist relative to procuring from 100% of persons owning property within the District the executed petition to create a Community Facilities District (including waivers) which requires a further continuance of the aforementioned public hearing.

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved as follows:

1. The foregoing recitals are true and correct.

2. Pursuant to the provisions of Government Code §53325, the Board of Directors finds and determines that there is a complexity relating to the proposed District and/or a need for public participation which requires additional time, for the reasons referred to in the incorporated recitals of this resolution, and on that basis, this Board continues the public hearing to determine whether it should proceed with the formation of the Community Facilities District No. 2016-1, issue bonds of the District to pay for the Facilities and authorize the Rate and Method of a special tax to be levied in the Community Facilities District for purposes described in the Resolution of Intention.

3. The Board of Directors continues the aforementioned public hearing from August 1, 2016, to September 5, 2016.

**************************************************

The foregoing Resolution was duly approved by the Board of Directors of the North Selma Sewer Financing Authority at a regular meeting held on the 1st day of August, 2016 by the following vote, to wit:

AYES: BOARD MEMBERS

NOES: BOARD MEMBERS

ABSTAIN: BOARD MEMBERS

ABSENT: BOARD MEMBERS

___________________________________________

Scott Robertson, Chairman

ATTEST:

By: ____________________________________________

Reyna Rivera, Secretary
The Special Workshop meeting of the Selma City Council was called to order at 8:00 a.m. in the Council Chambers. Council members answering roll call were: Montijo, Rodriguez, Mayor Pro Tem Avalos and Mayor Robertson. Council member Derr arrived at 8:13 a.m.

Also present were City Attorney Costanzo, and interested citizens.

The agenda for this meeting was duly posted in a location visible at all times by the general public seventy-two hours prior to this meeting.

**EXECUTIVE SESSION:** Mayor Robertson recessed the meeting into Executive Session at 8:01 a.m., to discuss Public Employment-Title: City Manager, pursuant to Government Code Section 54957.

**RECESS:** At 12:00 p.m., Mayor Robertson called for a lunch break. The Executive Session reconvened at 12:45 p.m.

**RECONVENE:** The meeting reconvened from Executive Session at 3:00 p.m., with no reportable action

**ADJOURNMENT:** There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 3:01 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Reyna Rivera  
City Clerk

Scott Robertson  
Mayor of the City of Selma
The Workshop/ pre-Council meeting of the Selma City Council was called to order at 5:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers. Council members answering roll call were: Derr, Montijo, Mayor Pro Tem Avalos and Mayor Robertson. Council member Rodriguez arrived at 5:01 p.m.

Also present were City Manager Grey, City Attorney Costanzo, Police Chief Garner, and interested citizens.

The agenda for this meeting was duly posted in a location visible at all times by the general public seventy-two hours prior to this meeting.

**ADDITION TO THE AGENDA:** City Attorney Costanzo reported that an item had been brought to the City's attention after the agenda had been posted that required immediate attention. After discussion, motion to add one item of pending litigation City of Selma v. City of Kingsburg (Court of Appeals Case No.: F071156) to the executive session was made by Council member Derr and seconded by Council member Rodriguez. Motion carried with the following vote:

- **Ayes:** Derr, Rodriguez, Montijo, Avalos, Robertson
- **Noes:** None
- **Abstain:** None
- **Absent:** None

**EXECUTIVE SESSION:** At 5:02 p.m., Mayor Robertson recessed the meeting into Executive Session to discuss the following: one item of Public Employment, pursuant to Government Code Section 54957 – Title: Interim City Manager; two cases of pending litigation pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9: City of Selma V. Bill Nelson General Engineering Construction, Inc.; Merchants Bonding Co.; and Does 1 to 100; and City of Selma v. City of Kingsburg (Court of Appeals Case No.: F071156), and lastly one case of potential litigation pursuant to Government Code 54956.9.

The meeting reconvened at 6:10 p.m., with Mayor Robertson reporting Council has appointed Greg Garner as Interim City Manager.

**ADJOURNMENT:** There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 6:11 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Reyna Rivera
City Clerk

__________________________
Scott Robertson
Mayor of the City of Selma
The regular meeting of the Selma City Council was called to order at 6:12 p.m. in the Council Chambers. Council members answering roll call were: Derr, Montijo, Rodriguez, Mayor Pro Tem Avalos, and Mayor Robertson.

Also present were City Manager Grey, City Attorney Costanzo, Community Services Director Kirchner, Finance Manager Moreno, Fire Chief Kain, Police Chief Garner, Public Works Director Shiplee, the press, and interested citizens.

The agenda for this meeting was duly posted in a location visible at all times by the general public seventy-two hours prior to this meeting.

INVOCATION: Pastor Maria Tafoya, First Christian Church led the invocation.

ORAL COMMUNICATION: Mr. Ken Maxey, District Manager for Waste Management stepped forward to provide an update on the recent turnover in disposal services.

Council member George Rodriguez presented City Manager Grey with a Certificate of Recognition and appreciation for his tenure with the City of Selma.

CONSENT CALENDAR: Council member Rodriguez motioned to approve the Consent Calendar as read. The motion was seconded by Council member Montijo, and carried with the following vote:

AYES: Rodriguez, Montijo, Derr, Avalos, Robertson
NOES: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

a. Minutes of the July 5, 2016 Workshop/pre-Council meeting, approved as written.

b. Minutes of the July 5, 2016 Regular Meeting, approved as written.


Resolution approved by standard motion.

g. Check Register dated July 13, 2016, approved by standard motion.

**CONSIDERATION AND NECESSARY ACTION ON APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING EXPENDITURE OF TRAFFIC SAFETY, EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT, AND ACT PROGRAM FUNDS FOR FLEET PURCHASE:**
After discussion, motion to APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE EXPENDITURE OF TRAFFIC SAFETY, EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT, AND ACT PROGRAM FUNDS FOR FLEET PURCHASE AS NEEDED was made by Council member Derr and seconded by Council member Rodriguez. Motion carried by the following vote:

- **AYES:** Derr, Rodriguez, Montijo, Avalos, Robertson
- **NOES:** None
- **ABSTAIN:** None
- **ABSENT:** None

**CONSIDERATION AND NECESSARY DISCUSSION ON FUNDING ALLOCATION FOR PUBLIC SAFETY, CAPITAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS THROUGH THE 2016-2017 STATE BUDGET PROCESS:**
City Manager Grey discussed that this is not an action item, it is only a reportable matter. He then reported on the approved funding allocation of Four Million Dollars through the State Budget, and introduced Mr. Chuck Jelloian, President of The CrisCom Company.

Mr. Chuck Jelloian, stepped forward to discuss the approved funding.

After discussion on the matter, Council thanked Mr. Chuck Jelloian for the information.

**CONSIDERATION AND NECESSARY DISCUSSION ON SURVEY REPORT FROM GODBE RESEARCH TO GAUGE VOTER SENTIMENT FOR FUNDING OF CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW POLICE STATION:**
City Manager Grey provided a PowerPoint presentation on the survey report from Godbe Research. He also discussed the similarities between the previous Measure S survey report, and stated that by comparison the approval rates are similar. After much discussion, Council thanked him for the information.

**CONSIDERATION AND NECESSARY ACTION ON ORDINANCE ORDERING THE SUBMISSION OF A GENERAL BOND PROPOSITION TO THE QUALIFIED VOTERS OF THE CITY OF SELMA AT THE GENERAL ELECTION TO BE HELD ON NOVEMBER 8, 2016 FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF A LOCAL POLICE STATION AND ASSOCIATED IMPROVEMENTS - *first reading and introduction and public hearing***:
City Attorney Costanzo reported that there was a revision to the Ordinance in order to comply with the requirements for ballot word count. He then discussed the matter for Council.
Mr. Eric Scriven, of NHA Advisors stepped forward and provided a PowerPoint presentation on a General Obligation Bond for infrastructure, and explained the requirements associated with General Obligation Bonds.

Mayor Robertson opened the public hearing at 6:48 p.m.

Mr. Louis Franco stepped forward and requested clarification on the assessments associated with the proposed General Obligation Bonds.

There being no further comments, Mayor Robertson closed the public hearing at 6:49 p.m.

After much discussion, Council member Derr motioned with a second by Mayor Pro Tem Avalos to introduce and waive the first reading of an ORDINANCE ORDERING THE SUBMISSION OF A GENERAL BOND PROPOSITION TO THE QUALIFIED VOTERS OF THE CITY OF SELMA AT THE GENERAL ELECTION TO BE HELD ON NOVEMBER 8, 2016 FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF A LOCAL POLICE STATION AND ASSOCIATED IMPROVEMENTS. The motion carried with the following vote:

AYES: Derr, Avalos, Montijo, Rodriguez, Robertson
NOES: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

CONSIDERATION AND NECESSARY ACTION ON RESOLUTION OF NECESSITY FOR ACQUISITION OF REAL PROPERTY OWNED BY WALMART STORES, INC., A PORTION OF APN 385-260-02, AND AUTHORIZING AND EMPOWERING CITY ATTORNEY TO FILE SUIT IN EMINENT DOMAIN AND TO ACQUIRE SUCH PROPERTY INTEREST AND TO APPLY FOR AN ORDER OF POSSESSION BEFORE JUDGMENT. City Attorney Costanzo reported on the matter.

Mayor Robertson opened the public hearing at 6:56 p.m., there being no one to speak for or against the matter, the hearing was closed at 6:57 p.m.

After Council discussion, motion to approve RESOLUTION NO. 2016-56R, A RESOLUTION OF NECESSITY OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SELMA FOR THE ACQUISITION OF REAL PROPERTY OWNED BY WALMART STORES, INC. AT THE SOUTHWEST COURNER OF HIGHWAY 99 AND FLORAL AVENUE CONSISTING OF 17,529.3 SQ. FT. OF THE 39.63 ACRES OF VACANT LAND IDENTIFIED AS ASSESSOR PARCEL NO 385-260-02 AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY ATTORNEY TO FILE SUIT IN EMINENT DOMAIN TO ACQUIRE SUCH PROPERTY AND TO APPLY FOR ORDER OF POSSESSION BEFORE JUDGMENT was made by Council member Montijo and seconded by council member Derr. Motion carried with the following vote:
COUNCIL REPORTS: Council member Rodriguez thanked City Manager Grey for his service and requested that City Manager Grey add an item of reorganization to the next agenda. He reported on the City Manager Recruitment, the nomination filing period dates, his eight years on Council, and stated that he intends to run for Council again.

Council member Avalos reported on attending a swim meet, thanked City Manager Grey for his service, and stated that he is running for reelection.

Mayor Robertson inquired on the previous Welcome To Selma Sign, and asked that staff follow up with Mr. Gerald Hayes. He reported on the upcoming retirement for Michael Markarian, California Water Service Manager, thanked Congressman Valdeleo and Mr. Sonny Morales for visiting the Boys and Girls Club, and stated that he intends to run for Council again.

Council member Montijo expressed concerns regarding recent Council decorum.

ORAL COMMUNICATION: Mr. Mark Marenta, Selma Boys and Girls Club stepped forward to discuss the visit from Congressman Valdeleo, and requested assistance with an issue at the Salazar Center.

Ms. Joan Nelson stepped forward to thank City Manager Grey for his service, and discussed concerns regarding police officers and their station.

Mrs. Rose Robertson stepped forward to thank City Manager Grey and Council for their service and commented on the reorganization process.

Mr. Louis Franco stepped forward to thank Council on allowing the police station bonding matter to move forward. He then distributed pictures of the current police station.

Mr. Bob Allen, Chamber Executive Director stepped forward to thank City Manager Grey for his service and reported on the following: Friday Movie Nights, and Caltrans landscape upkeep.

ADJOURNMENT: There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 7:26 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Reyna Rivera
City Clerk

Scott Robertson
Mayor of the City of Selma
ITEM NO: 2.

SUBJECT: Declaring Surplus and Sale, Donation or Disposal of City Property

DISCUSSION: The City of Selma Public Works Department is requesting Council's approval to declare as surplus the vehicle list attached hereto as "Exhibit A", and to authorize the sale, donation or disposal of said vehicles/equipment as prescribed by law.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COST: (Enter cost of item to be purchased in box below)</th>
<th>BUDGET IMPACT: (Enter amount this non-budgeted item will impact this years' budget in box below – if budgeted, enter NONE).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUNDING: (Enter the funding source for this item in box below – if fund exists, enter the balance in the fund).</th>
<th>ON-GOING COST: (Enter the amount that will need to be budgeted each year in box below – if one-time cost, enter NONE).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Funding Source:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund Balance:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECOMMENDATION: Approve Declared Surplus Vehicle List and Authorize the Sale, Donation or Disposal of City Property.
RESOLUTION NO. 2016 –____R

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SELMA DECLARING CERTAIN VEHICLES SURPLUS AND AUTHORIZING THEIR SALE, DONATION OR DISPOSAL

WHEREAS, the Public Works Department has declared certain vehicles to be surplus. A list of said vehicles is attached hereto as "Exhibit A."

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Manager is authorized and directed to declare said "Exhibit A" as surplus.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Manager is directed to sell, donate or dispose of the surplus as prescribed by law.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Manager and City Clerk are authorized to sign all necessary papers/documents for the sale, donation or disposal of the above mentioned vehicles.

The foregoing Resolution was duly approved by the Selma City Council at a regular meeting held on the 1st day of August 2016 by the following vote, to wit:

AYES: COUNCILMEMBERS:
NOES: COUNCILMEMBERS:
ABSTAIN: COUNCILMEMBERS:
ABSENT: COUNCILMEMBERS:

__________________________
Scott Robertson
Mayor of the City of Selma

ATTEST:

__________________________
Reyna Rivera
City Clerk
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VEH #</th>
<th>USE</th>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>LICENSE</th>
<th>VIN NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>714</td>
<td>PUBLIC WORKS</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>FORD F150</td>
<td>052311</td>
<td>IFTDF1729VKD32785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2502</td>
<td>PUBLIC WORKS</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>LEAF VACUUM OLD</td>
<td>1286261</td>
<td>0307-4691</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ITEM NO: 2

SUBJECT: Resolution confirming the annual refuse collection charges to be added to the 2016-2017 Fresno County property tax roll.

DISCUSSION: Annually, the City of Selma along with Waste Management, the City’s solid waste contractor, prepares a list of residential and commercial trash assessments for placement on the Fresno County property tax roll.

This year’s list includes 5,035 parcels in the gross amount of $1,936,290.72. The complete list is on file in the Finance Department.

California law states that a public hearing is required only if a new tax is levied or an existing one is increased. There was a rate increase for the 2016-2017 Fresno County property tax roll.

Council approved the proposed rate on June 20, 2016.

If approved, the Resolution would authorize the 2016-2017 trash assessments to the Fresno County property tax roll.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>COST:</strong> (Enter cost of item to be purchased in box below)</th>
<th><strong>BUDGET IMPACT:</strong> (Enter amount this non-budgeted item will impact this year’s budget in box below – if budgeted, enter NONE).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>FUNDING:</strong> (Enter the funding source for this item in box below – if fund exists, enter the balance in the fund).</th>
<th><strong>ON-GOING COST:</strong> (Enter the amount that will need to be budgeted each year in box below – if one-time cost, enter NONE).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Funding Source: N/A</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund Balance:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RECOMMENDATION:** Approve Resolution placing the 2016-2017 trash assessments on the Fresno County property tax roll.

Isaac Moreno, Finance Manager  
Date: 7-21-16

Greg Garner, Interim City Manager  
Date: 7/28/16
RESOLUTION NO. 2016 – _R

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SELMA CONFIRMING THE REPORT OF ANNUAL REFUSE COLLECTION CHARGES TO BE ADDED TO THE 2016-2017 FRESNO COUNTY PROPERTY TAX ROLL

WHEREAS, Health and Safety Code Section 5473 provides authority for the City of Selma to elect, by resolution, to have sanitation charges collected on the tax roll; and

WHEREAS, a written report containing a description of each parcel of real property receiving such services and the amount of the charge for each parcel has been prepared and filed with the City Clerk.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the City Council of the City of Selma confirms and approves the assessments and charges to be added to the 2016 - 2017 Fresno County Property Tax Roll in the manner provided by law; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the assessments and charges shall be filed with the Tax Collector of the County of Fresno and said charges shall be collected on the property tax roll all in accordance with Health and Safety Code Section 5473, et. seq.

The foregoing Resolution was duly approved by the Selma City Council at a regular meeting on the 1st day of August 2016 by the following vote, to wit:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS:
NOES: COUNCIL MEMBERS:
ABSTAIN: COUNCIL MEMBERS:
ABSENT: COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Scott Robertson
Mayor of the City of Selma

ATTEST:

Reyna Rivera
City Clerk of the City of Selma
ITEM NO:  2.

SUBJECT: Notice of Completion for Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Project No. 14651, Selma Street and Alley Improvements.

DISCUSSION: The Public Works Engineering Department is requesting that the Council approve the Notice of completion for the above referenced project. All work has been completed, has met all design standards, and has been approved by the City Engineer.

It is hereby requested that the Notice of Completion be executed and the final payment, plus retention on this project be released to the contractor.

RECOMMENDATION: Approve Notice of Completion for CDBG Project No. 14651, Selma Street and Alley Improvements.

Daniel K. Bond, City Engineer  
July 27, 2016

Greg Garner, Interim City Manager  
July 28, 2016
NOTICE OF COMPLETION
(UNDER SECTION 1187 C.C.P.)

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the undersigned, City of Selma, a Municipal Corporation, is the owner of the real estate situated in the City of Selma, County of Fresno, State of California, and described as follows, to-wit:

Various Street and Alley Improvement Project, CDBG Project No. 14651;
That the address of said owner is City Hall, 1710 Tucker Street, Selma, California;
That the nature of the title of the owner to said real estate is that of fee simple and/or easement;
That the name of the Contractor is Dawson-Mauldin Construction, Inc., 1071 E. Nebraska, Selma, CA 93662;
That on the 22nd day of July, 2016, the Contract for the work on the above-described property was actually completed.

By: _______________________
   Greg Garner, Interim City Manager
   Owner

I, Greg Garner, being duly sworn says:
That I am the agent of the Owner of the property described in the foregoing Notice; that I have read the foregoing Notice and know the contents thereof, and that the same is true of my own knowledge.

CITY OF SELMA, a Municipal Corporation

By: _______________________
   Greg Garner, Interim City Manager
   Owner

State of California
County of Fresno

On August 1, 2016, before me, Reyna Rivera, City Clerk, personally appeared Greg Garner, Interim City Manager, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same in his authorized capacity, and that by his signature on the instrument the person, or the entity upon behalf of which the person acted, executed the instrument. I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under laws of the State of California the foregoing paragraph is true and correct. WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Reyna Rivera, Selma City Clerk

(Acknowledgment taken by City Clerk pursuant to California Civil Code Section 1181)
ITEM NO: 29

SUBJECT: Consideration and necessary discussion on Resolution opposing The Adult Use of Marijuana Initiative

DISCUSSION: The November, 2016 ballot will contain a measure entitled, “Adult Use of Marijuana.” The initiative would permit the commercial sales of non-medical Marijuana in California. Analysis of the measure points out a number of areas of serious concern, which include allowing individuals convicted of dealing large amounts of controlled substances such as heroin and methamphetamine to become “legal marijuana dealers.” There are no provisions in the measure that would prevent the advertising and marketing to children or teens near parks, community centers, child-oriented businesses, or community colleges. Additionally, studies from Colorado, where sales of non-medical marijuana is already legal, indicate the usage of marijuana by teenagers 12-17 years old is 74% above the nation average, and marijuana-related traffic deaths increased by 92% in 2014.

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt Resolution opposing the Adult Use of Marijuana Initiative.
SELMA CITY COUNCIL RESOLUTION OPPOSING THE ADULT USE OF MARIJUANA INITIATIVE – COMMERCIAL SALES OF NON-MEDICAL MARIJUANA BALLOT MEASURE

WHEREAS, the Selma City Council is committed to the success and positive future of our community youth, and to the health and safety of our citizens; and

WHEREAS, the Selma City Council supports efforts to decrease and prevent youth use of and exposure to non-medical marijuana and other drugs; and

WHEREAS, marijuana use can be harmful to the adolescent brain, affecting the parts of the brain that influence pleasure, memory, thinking, concentration, sensory and time perception, and coordinated movement; and

WHEREAS, a ballot measure titled the “Adult Use of Marijuana” to permit commercial sales of non-medical marijuana in California will be on the November 2016 General Election ballot, and

WHEREAS, analysis of the “Adult Use of Marijuana” initiative by the San Diego District Attorney’s office points out, “The initiative allows persons convicted of dealing large amounts of controlled substances such as heroin (up to 20,000 individual doses), methamphetamine (up to 10,000 individual doses) to become ‘legal marijuana dealers; and

WHEREAS, the analysis further notes that the initiative permits convicted felony drug dealers who have used children to courier drugs to an adult buyer to be eligible to apply for a California marijuana license; and

WHEREAS, there are no provisions in the initiative to prevent advertising and marketing to children and teens near parks, community centers, child-focused businesses, and community colleges; and

WHEREAS, the HIDTA statistics for marijuana use in Colorado teens ages 12-17 are 74% above the national average; and

WHEREAS, the initiative allows for the indoor growing of up to six marijuana plants per residence and each plant requires 6 gallons of water per day in a state that is currently battling a drought; and

WHEREAS, in Colorado, where non-medical marijuana is legal and commercialized, marijuana-related traffic deaths increased 92% from 2010 to 2014 while all traffic deaths increased only 8 percent during the same time period; and

WHEREAS, a study released in May 2016 by AAA Foundation for Traffic Research found that Fatal crashes involving drivers who recently used marijuana doubled in Washington after the state legalized the drug.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Selma City Council opposes the “Adult Use of Marijuana” California ballot measure to permit commercialization of non-medical marijuana.

Signed this _______ day of _________

By __________________________

Name, Title and Organization
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check Number</th>
<th>Check Date</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Void/Stop Date</th>
<th>Vendor Number</th>
<th>Vendor Name</th>
<th>Check Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>67338</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10100.134</td>
<td>3SFIRE, LLC</td>
<td>LIVE FIRE PROP</td>
<td>27,544.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67340</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10180.040</td>
<td>AIR LIQUIDE HEALTHCARE AMERICA</td>
<td>OXYGEN CYLINDER RENTAL</td>
<td>126.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67341</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10180.255</td>
<td>ALERT-O-LITE</td>
<td>TRAFFIC ZONE ARRANTS</td>
<td>3,648.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67342</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10180.801</td>
<td>ALLIED ELECTRIC MOTOR SERV INC</td>
<td>AC STORAGE BLD SUPPLIES</td>
<td>1,659.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67343</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10180.850</td>
<td>ROMEY ALVAREZ</td>
<td>POST TRAINING PER DIEM</td>
<td>24.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67344</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10100.386</td>
<td>ANTHEM BLUE CROSS</td>
<td>AMBULANCE OVERPAYMENT</td>
<td>141.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67345</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10100.515</td>
<td>AT&amp;T</td>
<td>TELEPHONE SERVICE</td>
<td>2,104.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67346</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10340.385</td>
<td>AT&amp;T MOBILITY</td>
<td>TELEPHONE-MDT'S</td>
<td>1,909.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67347</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10210.225</td>
<td>BANK OF THE WEST</td>
<td>SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT</td>
<td>1,120.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67348</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10210.255</td>
<td>BANNER PEST CONTROL INC</td>
<td>PEST CONTROL</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67349</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10230.098</td>
<td>ED BELL</td>
<td>CONCERT IN THE PARK 8/5/16</td>
<td>600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67350</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10230.130</td>
<td>BENNETT &amp; BENNETT, INC.</td>
<td>SPRINKLER SUPPLIES</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67351</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10260.701</td>
<td>BLUE SHIELD OF CALIFORNIA</td>
<td>AMBULANCE OVERPAYMENT</td>
<td>1,789.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67352</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10280.624</td>
<td>JAMES CLIFTON BROWN</td>
<td>INSTRUCTOR 1B</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67353</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Void</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td>Void Check</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67354</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Void</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td>Void Check</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67355</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10310.524</td>
<td>CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PEACE</td>
<td>AMBULANCE OVERPAYMENT</td>
<td>3,459.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67356</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10310.963</td>
<td>Assn</td>
<td>AMBULANCE OVERPAYMENT</td>
<td>1,850.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67357</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10327.220</td>
<td>CARROT-TOP INDUSTRIES</td>
<td>CUSTOM TENT AND FLAGS</td>
<td>2,088.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67358</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>11910.806</td>
<td>CITY OF SANGER FIRE DEPARTMENT</td>
<td>CONSULTING FOR IGT</td>
<td>821.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67359</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10370.375</td>
<td>COMCAST</td>
<td>INTERNET SERVICE</td>
<td>1,060.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67360</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10370.969</td>
<td>CORELOGIC SOLUTIONS LLC</td>
<td>REALQUEST SERVICE</td>
<td>481.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67361</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10430.071</td>
<td>DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE</td>
<td>BLOOD ALCOHOL ANALYSIS</td>
<td>553.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67362</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10450.095</td>
<td>DON BERRY CONSTRUCTION INC</td>
<td>ART STORAGE BLD LAND GRAZING</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67363</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10450.282</td>
<td>PARAMJIT DOSANGH</td>
<td>ICE CREAM DEPOSIT REFUND</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67364</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10610.475</td>
<td>FAIL SAFE TESTING</td>
<td>ANNUAL LADDER TESTING</td>
<td>578.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67365</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10610.500</td>
<td>CASSY FAIN</td>
<td>POST TRAINING PER DIEM</td>
<td>24.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67366</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10670.212</td>
<td>FRESNO CO</td>
<td>LAFCO BUDGET 2016/2017</td>
<td>3,077.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67367</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10670.270</td>
<td>FRESNO CO</td>
<td>RMS/JMS/CAD ACCESS FEES</td>
<td>1,175.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67368</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10670.292</td>
<td>FRESNO COUNTY AUDITOR'S OFFICE</td>
<td>COUNTY PARKING</td>
<td>12.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67369</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10670.583</td>
<td>FRUSA EMS</td>
<td>AMBULANCE BILLING</td>
<td>963.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67370</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Void</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td>Void Check</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67371</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Void</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td>Void Check</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67372</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10700.080</td>
<td>G&amp;K SERVICES</td>
<td>LINEN/UNIFORM SERVICE</td>
<td>592.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67373</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10750.800</td>
<td>JASON GRAY</td>
<td>EMT RECRUT REIMBURSEMENT</td>
<td>80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67374</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10752.164</td>
<td>KENNETH GREY</td>
<td>TRAVEL/MEETING REIMBURSEMENT</td>
<td>215.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67375</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10820.020</td>
<td>HEALTHEDGE ADMINISTRATORS INC.</td>
<td>DENTAL 7/6/16</td>
<td>2,827.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67376</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10820.020</td>
<td>HEALTHEDGE ADMINISTRATORS INC.</td>
<td>DENTAL 6/29/16</td>
<td>2,117.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67377</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10820.020</td>
<td>HEALTHEDGE ADMINISTRATORS INC.</td>
<td>DENTAL 7/13/16</td>
<td>1,389.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67378</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10820.020</td>
<td>HEALTHEDGE ADMINISTRATORS INC.</td>
<td>ADMINISTRATIVE FEES</td>
<td>654.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67379</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10820.304</td>
<td>HEALTHWISE SERVICES</td>
<td>KIOSK MEDICAL WASTE</td>
<td>150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67380</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10820.702</td>
<td>HEWLETT-PACKARD FINANCIAL LEASES</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,851.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67381</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10860.262</td>
<td>KEITH HULL</td>
<td>AMBULANCE OVERPAYMENT</td>
<td>200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67382</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>10970.773</td>
<td>INTERWEST CONSULTING GROUP INC</td>
<td>PLAN CHECKS</td>
<td>3,226.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Check Register Report

**City of Selma**

**BANK: UNION BANK**

**Date:** 07/27/2016  
**Time:** 10:01 am

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check Number</th>
<th>Check Date</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Void/Stop Date</th>
<th>Vendor Number</th>
<th>Vendor Name</th>
<th>Check Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>67383</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>11110.531</td>
<td>KAIER PERMANENTE</td>
<td>AMBULANCE OVERPAYMENT REFUND</td>
<td>1,163.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67384</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>11120.400</td>
<td>KENT M. KAWOGOE, PH.D.</td>
<td>PRE-EMPL PSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMS</td>
<td>1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67385</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>11190.400</td>
<td>KULOW BROTHERS</td>
<td>TRAFFIC CITATIONS</td>
<td>1,189.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67386</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>11200.150</td>
<td>L.N. CURTIS &amp; SONS</td>
<td>FIRE FOAM</td>
<td>8,364.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67387</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>11220.027</td>
<td>LEE CENTRAL CALIFORNIA</td>
<td>EMPLOYMENT ADS-IT</td>
<td>457.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67388</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>11230.180</td>
<td>LIEBERT, CASSIDY, WHITMORE</td>
<td>FLSA CLAIM INC</td>
<td>7,448.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67389</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>11250.392</td>
<td>MARIANO LOPEZ</td>
<td>AMBULANCE OVERPAYMENT REFUND</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67390</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>11329.192</td>
<td>MCARRON MANUFACTURING</td>
<td>TRAILER FOR STREETS DEPT</td>
<td>2,582.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67391</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>11350.330</td>
<td>MID VALLEY PUBLISHING, INC.</td>
<td>EMPLOYMENT ADS-PD</td>
<td>99.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67392</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>11380.300</td>
<td>MYERS STEVENS &amp; TOOHEY &amp; CO.</td>
<td>FD EMP LIFE INS</td>
<td>1,441.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67393</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>11400.037</td>
<td>NHA ADVISORS</td>
<td>CONSULTING-NEW POLICE STATION</td>
<td>43,125.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67394</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>11530.100</td>
<td>OFFICE DEPOT, INC.</td>
<td>OFFICE SUPPLIES</td>
<td>379.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67395</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>11579.027</td>
<td>OVERSTREET &amp; ASSOCIATES</td>
<td>ATTORNEY FEES-ARRANTS</td>
<td>5,164.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67396</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>11620.424</td>
<td>RALPH PFOST</td>
<td>AMBULANCE OVERPAYMENT REFUND</td>
<td>135.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67397</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>11640.810</td>
<td>PITNEY BOWES PURCHASE POWER</td>
<td>POSTAGE REFILL</td>
<td>937.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67398</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>11810.361</td>
<td>RAY MORGAN COMPANY INC</td>
<td>MAINT/COPY AGREEMENT</td>
<td>396.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67399</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>11840.010</td>
<td>ROBINA WRIGHT ARCHITECT &amp; ASSOCIATES</td>
<td>INSPECTION 2446 MCCALL</td>
<td>1,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67400</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>11910.183</td>
<td>SACRAMENTO METROPOLITAN FIRE</td>
<td>GEMT ADMINISTRATION FEE</td>
<td>1,760.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67401</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>11910.875</td>
<td>JOSE RAMIREZ SANTILLAN</td>
<td>ICE CREAM DEPOSIT REFUND</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67402</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>11945.485</td>
<td>SELMA-KINGSBURG-FO WLER</td>
<td>ART STORAGE BLDG</td>
<td>1,090.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67403</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>11900.389</td>
<td>SPARKLETT S</td>
<td>WATER SERVICE</td>
<td>117.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67404</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>11975.624</td>
<td>STERICYCLE, INC.</td>
<td>SERI-SAFE OSHA COMPLIANCE</td>
<td>110.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67405</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>11985.138</td>
<td>SUN LIFE</td>
<td>EMPLOYEE INSURANCE</td>
<td>1,058.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67406</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>12010.450</td>
<td>TARGET SOLUTIONS LLC</td>
<td>LICENSE RENEWAL-TRAINING</td>
<td>1,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67407</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>12072.972</td>
<td>TYCO INTEGRATED SECURITY LLC</td>
<td>ALARM SERVICES</td>
<td>2,461.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67408</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>12320.247</td>
<td>THE WEIST LAW FIRM</td>
<td>NORTH SEWER PROJECT</td>
<td>15,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67409</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>12350.455</td>
<td>WILLEMS COMMERCIAL PRINTING</td>
<td>HEATHERS POSTERS</td>
<td>275.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67410</td>
<td>07/21/2016</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td></td>
<td>12610.115</td>
<td>JOHN ZAPATA ADMIN CITATION</td>
<td>12780 REFUND</td>
<td>300.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Checks:** 73  
**Checks Total (excluding void checks):** 186,907.29

**Total Payments:** 73  
**Bank Total (excluding void checks):** 186,907.29

**Total Payments:** 73  
**Grand Total (excluding void checks):** 186,907.29
CITY MANAGER’S/STAFF’S REPORT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING: August 1 2016

ITEM NO: 3.

SUBJECT: Consideration and Necessary Action on an Ordinance of the City Council Ordering the Submission of a General Bond Proposition to the Qualified Voters of the City of Selma at the General Election to be Held on November 8, 2016 for the Purpose of the Acquisition and Construction of a Local Police Station and Associated Improvements.

DISCUSSION: A City has the authority to issue General Obligation Improvement Bonds, and to finance repayment of those Bonds with a property tax levy against all taxable property in the City, but, the bond issuance and tax levy both need to be approved by the voters and can be approved as one ballot measure or proposition. The Ordinance simply calls an election and includes all of the details required by statute for calling an election on the issuance of General Obligation Improvement Bonds including the principal amount of the Bonds, maximum interest rate, conduct of the election, consolidation of the election and the nature of the tax levies with a specific statement that reflects the average tax rate required to be levied against property within the City in order to pay for the principal and interest on the bonds.

The Ordinance provides for issuance of bonds totaling $4,000,000, at a maximum interest rate of 6% (the anticipated interest rate is well below that amount) and for assessing, on average, approximately $16.00 per $100,000 in assessed value against property in the City.

The Ordinance is required to be adopted by a two-thirds vote of the Council.

The Ordinance must be delivered to the Elections Office in Fresno County on or before August 12.
**COST:** (Enter cost of item to be purchased)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Budget Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$3,000-$5,000 for placing measure on Nov. 16 Ballot (Estimated).</td>
<td>Fund 204, Public Safety Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,000-20,000 for placing measure on 2017 Ballot. (Estimated).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any bonds authorized are to be repaid by the levy of property taxes so there is no other direct cost to the General Fund.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FUNDING:** (Enter the funding source for this item – if fund exists, enter the balance in the fund).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>On-going Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not applicable.</td>
<td>Not applicable.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RECOMMENDATION:** Adopt Ordinance ordering submission of a General Obligation Bond Proposition of the qualified voters (November 8, 2016) for the purpose of the acquisition and construction of a local police station and associated improvements.

/s/ Neal E. Costanzo 07/29/16

Neal E. Costanzo, City Attorney Date
ORDINANCE NO. 16-____

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SELMA, CALIFORNIA ORDERING THE SUBMISSION OF A GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROPOSITION TO THE QUALIFIED VOTERS OF THE CITY OF SELMA AT THE GENERAL ELECTION TO BE HELD ON NOVEMBER 8, 2016, FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF A LOCAL POLICE STATION AND ASSOCIATED IMPROVEMENTS

The City Council of the City of Selma, California (the "City") does ordain as follows:

WHEREAS, under the direction of the City Council, Staff has retained an architectural firm with expertise in design of structures which has designed a Police Station and Associated Improvements which is estimated to cost approximately $8,000,000; and

WHEREAS, the Selma Police Department currently occupies an old, dilapidated structure which is used as its only Police Station and the current condition of that Police Station is not conducive to or adequate for the provision of public safety, crime suppression and prevention and police services within the City of Selma; and

WHEREAS, the City Council did determine by resolution adopted at a regular meeting held on July 5, 2016, by a two-thirds vote of all its members, that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition and construction and completion of municipal improvements consisting of the acquisition and construction of a police station and associated improvements (the "Police Station Improvements"), and the Police Station Improvements are necessary and convenient to carry out the objects, purposes and powers of the City, and that the indebtedness will require expenditure greater than an amount allowed for by the annual tax levy of the City; and

WHEREAS, the City will receive a budget allocation from the State of California in the amount of $4,000,000 to partially fund the estimated $8,000,000 Police Station, and has retained through Police Department Impact Fees and sales tax revenues additional funds for the funding of the Police Station Improvements; and

WHEREAS, in order to provide for the issuance by the City of its general obligation bonds to finance the $4,000,000 balance of the estimated cost of the Police Station Improvements described in the aforementioned Resolution of Necessity, it is necessary for the City Council to pass an ordinance ordering the submission of the proposition of incurring bonded indebtedness for such purpose to the qualified voters of the City at a regular municipal election; and
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WHEREAS, the City Council desires to submit said ballot measure to the qualified voters of the City at an election to be held in the City on November 8, 2016, and to consolidate the bond election with the general state-wide election held within the City on that date.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is ordered as follows:

Section 1. Recitals. That the above recitals are true and correct.

Section 2. Call for Election. That an election is called and ordered and shall be held in the City of Selma on November 8, 2016. At that election there shall be submitted to the qualified voters of the City the question of incurring a bonded indebtedness by the City for the object and purpose set forth in the ballot measure appearing in Section 3, below.

Section 3. Ballot Proposition. The City Council hereby submits to the qualified voters of the City at the regular election to be held on November 8, 2016, a proposition on issuing the bonds in substantially the following form:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITY OF SELMA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MEASURE _</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY OF SELMA CRIME FIGHTING/9-1-1 CONSTRUCTION BOND MEASURE: To update 9-1-1 dispatch technology at the Selma police operations center to reduce crime, improve video policing, dispatch technology, rapid response, and ensure the operations center will survive natural disasters; shall Selma issue $4,000,000 in bonds at legal rates, requiring average debt service of $230,000 annually, for 30 years, by assessing $16 per $100,000 of assessed value, requiring financial audits, citizen oversight, and funds be spent in Selma?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 4. Object and Purpose of Bonds. The object and specific single purpose of issuing the bonds is the acquisition, construction and improvement of a police station in the City of Selma and the proceeds of the bonds may only be applied to that purpose.

Section 5. Estimated Cost of Police Station Improvements. The estimated cost of the Police Station Construction and Associated Improvements is $8,000,000. The estimated cost includes legal and other fees, and other costs and expenses, which are incidental to or connected with the authorization, issuance and sale of the bonds. The City has, or will, receive $4,000,000 from a State budget allocation toward the acquisition, construction and
improvement of the new police station, and has retained additional funds dedicated to that purpose and proposes to fund the balance, $4,000,000 by the issuance and sale of the bonds.

Section 6. Principal Amount of the Bonds. The principal amount of the bonds shall not exceed $4,000,000.

Section 7. Maximum Interest Rate. The maximum rate of interest to be paid on the bonds shall be 6% per annum.

Section 8. Issuance and Sale of Bonds. The City proposes to acquire, construct and develop the Police Station and to finance a portion of the total cost by issuance of the bonds pursuant to Article 1 commencing with Section 43600 of Chapter 4 of Division 4 of Title 4 of the California Government Code, or Article 4.5, commencing with Section 53506, of Chapter 3 of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5 of the California Government Code, in one or more series, in the maximum amount and for the objects and purposes set forth above if two-thirds of all qualified voters voting on the proposition set forth above vote in favor thereof. The bonds will be general obligations of the City payable from and secured by taxes levied and collected in the manner prescribed by laws of the State of California. All of the bonds are to be equally and ratably secured without priority, by the taxing power of the City.

Section 9. Consolidation, Manner of Conducting Election. The date of the election is November 8, 2016. The election shall be held and conducted, election officers appointed, voting precincts designated, ballots printed, polls opened and closed, ballots counted, and returned, returns canvased, results declared and all other proceedings incidental and connected with the election shall be regulated and done in accordance with the provision of law relating to the election as specified herein. The City Council has requested pursuant to this ordinance, that the Board of Supervisors of Fresno County (the “County”), and the Fresno County Elections Office the consolidation of the called municipal election with any other election to be held within the City on said date.

Section 10. Procedure for Voting on Proposition. Ballots for the election shall be provided in the form and in the number provided for by law. Voters shall be provided an opportunity to vote for or against the proposition on the ballot, in accordance with the procedures to be adopted by the authorized officers of the County charged with conducting the election.

Section 11. Tax Levies on Taxable Property in the City. If the aforementioned bonds are authorized and sold, principal and interest on the bonds will be payable only from the proceeds of tax levies made upon taxable property in the City. Attached and incorporated by reference as Exhibit A is the Tax Rate Statement concerning Measure P providing the information required by Sections 9400 through 9409 of the Elections Code of the State of California and the tax rate statement concerning Measure P is authorized to be
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executed by the Mayor, on behalf of the City of Selma and accurately contains the information concerning the best estimate of the tax rate which would be required to be levied to fund the bond issuance, in accordance with the foregoing provisions and the best estimate of the highest tax rate which would be required to be levied to fund this bond issuance.

Section 12. Accountability Requirements. In accordance with Sections 53410 and 53411 of the California Government Code, the City Council hereby adopts the following accountability requirements relating to the bonds:

(A) A separate account called Police Station Construction Designated Fund shall be created and held by the City, and to which the proceeds of the bonds are deposited.

(B) The Chief Fiscal Officer or designee of the City shall file a report with the City Council no later than January 1, 2017, and at least once a year thereafter, showing the amount of the bond proceeds collected and expended, and the status of the project to be financed from the proceeds of the bonds.

(C) A citizen's oversight committee composed of three voters of the City of Selma shall be formed and will be charged with an annual review of all bond proceeds expenditures and an annual report to the City Council regarding their findings as to those expenditures. Members of the Citizens' Oversight Committee shall be appointed by the City Council. The Committee shall consist of three members. The Citizens' Oversight Committee members shall not be current or past City of Selma employees, officials, contractors or vendors of the City. Past officials or vendors shall be eligible to serve on the Committee, provided that there are no conflicts of interest as determined by the City Attorney. Of the members of the Committee first appointed, they shall be appointed for terms of four years. No member may serve more than two consecutive terms.

The City Council shall solicit Citizens' Oversight Committee members through an open application process that is promoted through a broad-based recruitment process. Any voting Selma resident is eligible to apply for Committee membership, subject to the appointment categories specified above. All applications will be reviewed by the City Council, which will have the authority to make all final decisions on Committee representation, subject to these guidelines.

Committee members may also review the annual financial or performance audits performed by an independent auditor. The Committee shall confine its oversight specifically to revenues generated under this Chapter. Revenue generated through other sources shall be outside the jurisdiction of the Citizens' Oversight Committee.
In order to preserve the integrity and independence of the oversight process, Committee members will not play a formal role in contracting, project management, or any other aspect of the funding.

The Committee is not charged with decision-making on spending priorities, schedules, project details, funding source decisions (e.g. leveraged funds, developer fees, etc.), financing plans, or tax rate assumptions. The Committee shall serve in an advisory-only role to the City Council. The Committee shall have no jurisdiction other than that delegated to it by the People pursuant to this Ordinance.

The City of Selma City Manager or his or her designee shall provide any reasonable administrative or technical assistance required by the Committee to fulfill its responsibilities or publicize its findings.

The Citizens’ Oversight Committee shall meet annually (or as otherwise provided for in approved or amended by-laws) with specific meeting dates to be determined by Committee members. Citizens’ Oversight Committee meetings are subject to the Brown Act. Meetings must be noticed and open to the public. Additional meetings may be scheduled by the Committee as necessary. All Committee members shall attend a training and orientation session prior to the first regular Committee meeting.

Committee members are expected to attend all regular meetings. Failure to attend two consecutive meetings may result in removal from the Committee at the discretion of the City Council.

The Citizens’ Oversight Committee will select members to serve as Chair and Vice Chair of the Committee. A City staff person will be appointed by the City Manager or his or her designee to serve as Secretary. The Secretary will be responsible for preparing, posting and distributing agendas and taking minutes at each meeting. Approved minutes shall be made available to the public. Committee decisions, positions, findings and procedures shall require a simple majority vote of those Members in attendance.

Committee members may be removed from the Committee only by the City Council for repeated absence, for malfeasance, for failing to meet the qualifications set forth in this Section or for inability or unwillingness to fulfill the duties of a Member. In the event of removal, resignation, or death, the City Council shall appoint a person to fill the vacant seat. With the exception of those items specifically addressed in these Guiding Principles, the Committee may draft and adopt its own standard procedures and by-laws by majority vote.

All Citizens’ Oversight Committee procedures and by-laws remain subject to review and approval by the City Council. Citizens’ Oversight Committee reports and audits are subject to review and approval of the City Council.
Section 13. Publication of Ordinance. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven days in a newspaper published at least six days a week in the City, or once a week for two weeks in a newspaper published less than six days a week in the City. The first of said publication shall, in either event, be within 15 days after the adoption of this ordinance. The City Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to make said publications and to transport for receipt, no later than August 11, 2016 a certified copy of this ordinance to the appropriate officials in the County responsible for preparing the ballots for said election.

Section 14. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective immediately upon its adoption by two-thirds of all of the members of this Council.

The foregoing Ordinance was introduced and read at a regular meeting of the City Council held on July 18, 2016, and was passed, approved and adopted at a regular meeting of the City Council held on August 1, 2016 by the following vote:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS:
NOES: COUNCIL MEMBERS:
ABSTENTION: COUNCIL MEMBERS:
ABSENT: COUNCIL MEMBERS:

______________________________
Scott Robertson, Mayor of the City of Selma

ATTEST:

______________________________
Reyna Rivera
City Clerk of the City of Selma

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

______________________________
Neal E. Costanzo, City Attorney
Tax Rate Statement In Connection With
City of Selma, California
(Police Station Project - Bond Measure ____)

An election will be held in the City of Selma (the "City") on November 8, 2016, on the question of issuing bonds in the principal amount of $4,000,000 to finance improvements to the City's Police Station as described in the bond measure. If the bonds are approved, the City expects to sell the bonds in one series beginning in 2017. Principal and interest on the bonds will be paid from taxes levied on taxable property in the City. The following tax rate information is given to comply with Sections 9400-9404 of the Elections Code of the State of California.

Based on estimated assessed valuations available at the time of filing of this statement:

1. The best estimate of the tax rate that would be required to be levied to fund the bond issue during the first fiscal year after the sale of the first series of bonds, based on estimated assessed valuations available at the time of filing of this statement, is $0.0216 per $100 ($20.07 per $100,000) of assessed valuation in fiscal year 2017/18.

2. The best estimate of the tax rate that would be required to be levied to fund the bond issue during the first fiscal year after the last sale of the bonds, based on estimated assessed valuations available at the time of filing of this statement, is $0.0216 per $100 ($20.07 per $100,000) of assessed valuation in fiscal year 2017/18.

3. The best estimate of the highest tax rate that would be required to be levied to fund the bond issue, based on estimated assessed valuations available at the time of filing this statement, is $0.0216 per $100 ($20.07 per $100,000) of assessed valuation in fiscal year 2017/18.

4. The best estimate of the average annual tax rate which would be required to be levied to fund the bond issue over the entire life of the bond debt service, based on estimated assessed valuations available at the time of filing of this statement, is $0.0165 per $100 ($16.38 per $100,000) of assessed valuation. The final fiscal year in which a tax is anticipated to be collected is 2046/47.

Voters should note that such estimated tax rates are specific to the repayment of bonds issued under this authorization and are and will be in addition to tax rates levied in connection with other bond authorizations approved or to be approved by the City or any other overlapping public agency.

Voters should note that the estimated tax rates are based on the assessed value of taxable property on the Fresno County's official tax rolls, not on the property's market value. In addition, taxpayers eligible for a property tax exemption, such as the homeowner's exemption, will be taxed at a lower effective tax rate than described above. Certain taxpayers may also be eligible to postpone payment of taxes. Property owners should consult their own property tax bills and the County Assessor to determine their property's assessed value and any applicable tax exemptions.

August 1, 2016 Council Packet
The actual tax rates and the years in which they will apply may vary from those presently estimated, due to variations from these estimates in the timing of bond sales, the amount of bonds sold and market interest rates at the time of each sale, and actual assessed valuations over the term of repayment of the bonds. The estimates are based upon the City's projections and are not binding upon the City. The dates of sale and the amount of bonds sold at any given time will be determined by the City based on the need for construction funds and other factors. The actual interest rates at which the bonds will be sold will depend on the bond market at the time of each sale. Actual future assessed valuation will depend upon the amount and value of taxable property within the City as determined by the County Assessor in the annual assessment and the equalization process.

Dated: August ___, 2016

______________________________
Mayor
City of Selma
IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS OF MEASURE "P"
THE "CITY OF SELMA CRIME FIGHTING / 9-1-1 CONSTRUCTION BOND MEASURE"
[ELECTIONS CODE SECTION 9280]

Based on the finding that the Selma Police Department occupies an old, dilapidated structure the current condition of which is not conducive to or adequate for the provision of public safety, crime suppression and prevention and police services and that the public interest and necessity demand, acquisition and construction of municipal improvements consisting of construction of a Police Station and associated improvements, the City of Selma City Council adopted Ordinance No. 16-____, calling for a city-wide Municipal Election on Measure “P” the “City of Selma Crime Fighting / 9-1-1 Construction Bond Measure”. The City has received from the State of California four million dollars ($4,000,000.) to partially fund the estimated eight million dollar ($8,000,000.) Police Station and Measure “P” provides for issuance by the City of its General Obligation Bonds to finance the four million dollar ($4,000,000.) balance of the estimated cost of the Police Station improvements. The effect of the Measure is the City will issue four million dollars ($4,000,000.) in bonds at legal rates, requiring an average debt service of two hundred thirty thousand dollars ($230,000.) annually for thirty years. That debt service will be paid for by the placement of assessments against all taxable real property within the City. The best estimate of the City of the tax rate that would be required to be levied to fund the bond issuance is, on average, sixteen dollars and thirty eight cents ($16.38) for every one hundred thousand dollars ($100,000.) in assessed value of taxable real property within the City.

The Measure and implementing Ordinance require that all proceeds of the Bond Issuance be paid into a separate account called Police Station Construction Designated Fund. These funds can only be spent on the construction of a police station and associated improvements. The Ordinance requires the City Chief Financial Officer to file a report with the City Council on or before January 1, of each year following the Bond Issuance showing the amount of Bond proceeds collected and expended and the status of the project financed from the proceeds of the Bond. The Ordinance further prohibits use of Bond revenues on Police Department or Administration salaries, General Funds operating expenses, or projects or expenditures of any type that are not part of the construction of the Police Station and associated improvements. The Ordinance establishes an Independent Citizens Oversight Committee and requires mandatory independent financial audits of the Police Station Construction Designated Fund.

The above statement is an impartial analysis of Ordinance No. 16-____, and Measure “P”. If you desire a copy of the Ordinance or Measure, please call the Elections Official Office at (559) 488-3246 and a copy will be mailed to you at no cost.

Dated: _________________, 2016

________________________________________
Neal E. Costanzo
City Attorney for the City of Selma
CITY MANAGER'S/STAFF'S REPORT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING: August 1, 2016

ITEM NO: 3.b

SUBJECT: Consideration and Necessary Action on Resolution Ordering that a Measure Authorizing the Issuance of General Obligation Bonds for the purpose of Acquisition and Construction of a Local Police Station and Associated Improvements be submitted to the Qualified Voters of the City of Selma at the General Election to be held on November 8, 2016.

DISCUSSION: This agenda item has been added as required by Elections Code according to the County Clerk. The Resolution will be provided during the Council meeting which will have specific information in accordance to the Elections Code.

RECOMMENDATION: Review and adopt Resolution Ordering and authorizing that Said Measure Be Submitted to the Voters of the City at the Regular General Election on November 8, 2016.

/s/ Neal E. Costanzo 07/29/2016
Neal E. Costanzo, City Attorney Date
DISCUSSION: Application for a fence placement variance was received by the Public Works Department on June 10, 2016, from Jason Keiser, for 3526 Shaft Street.

This matter was heard during the June 20, 2016 Council meeting, where it was the consensus of Council to continue the matter until which time the owners can contact the utilities and confirm the exact area allowed for the proposed fence placement.

The City Engineer has evaluated the request, and staff is prepared to move forward with the process with the following conditions:

- Owner will obtain encroachment permits from all utilities affected
- Owner will obtain Building Department Fence Permit

RECOMMENDATION: Approve fence variance application for 3526 Shaft, with conditions listed above.
Reyna Rivera

From: Bryant Hemby
Sent: Wednesday, July 27, 2016 12:41 PM
To: Engineering; Reyna Rivera
Subject: FW: Contact Us Residential: Notifications and Alerts [#1539669]

This is for Keiser on the fence in the public right of way

From: PG&E Customer Service Online [mailto:customerserviceonline@pge.com]
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2016 3:30 PM
To: Bryant Hemby
Subject: Re: Contact Us Residential: Notifications and Alerts [#1539669]

Dear Bryant,

Thank you for contacting the Business Customer Service Center.

Please contact our Land Surveying and Engineering Support Technician for your county. You can reach out to Bounma Moua directly at 559-263-5688.

If you have further concerns or questions, please do not hesitate to contact us, either by replying to this message directly or by contacting our Business Customer Service Center at 1-800-468-4743, Monday through Saturday 7:00am to 9:00pm. We are happy to address your concerns.

"To help us improve our service, click here to take a short survey."

Thank you,

Business Customer Service Center
Pacific Gas & Electric Company
http://www.pge.com/business

--Original Message--
From: Bryanth@cityofselma.com
Date: 7/21/2016 4:56:23 PM
To: CustomerServiceOnline@pge.com
Subject: Contact Us Residential: Notifications and Alerts

Residential
Account Number:
Name: Bryant Hemby Planner City of Selma
Contact Email: Bryanth@cityofselma.com
Message: A home owner wants to move a fence into the City’s Public Utility Easement and needs to have PGE advise where they can place the posts. The USA shows a PGE line but the City is asking the home owner to provide a letter of authorization or release of liability before the City issues a Fence Variance. Can you have guide me.
APPLICATION FOR FENCE PLACEMENT VARIANCE BY JASON KEISER, 3526 SHAFT STREET

Application for a fence placement variance was received by the Public Works Department on June 10, 2016, from Jason Keiser, for 3526 Shaft Street.

Mr. Keiser's property is a corner lot, which requires a 15' setback from the property line for all structures.

Mr. Keiser would like to relocate the fence on the Nelson Boulevard frontage of his property to 4'6" behind the face of curb. The existing fence is 9'10" behind the face of curb. The proposed fence would be the same type of wood picket fence currently in place.

Mr. Keiser states that the reason for this request is to enlarge his backyard, so his children have more room to play.

Staff has contacted the utilities, and responses have been received from AT&T and Calwater, which are attached. PG&E did not respond.

The City Engineer has evaluated the request to relocate the fence to 4'6" behind the face of curb. There are no line of site issues, however he noted the proposed fence would be located in the Public Utility Easement (PUE). Subsequent responses from utility companies confirm there are underground utilities present within the PUE, and therefore a fence cannot be located at the proposed location.

Based upon the PUE and the location of the underground utilities, the current location of the fence is as close to the sidewalk as is allowable.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COST: (Enter cost of item to be purchased)</th>
<th>BUDGET IMPACT: (Enter amount this non-budgeted item will impact this years' budget - if budgeted, enter NONE).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FUNDING:</strong> (Enter the funding source for this item - if fund exists, enter the balance in the fund).</td>
<td><strong>ON-GOING COST:</strong> (Enter the amount that will need to be budgeted each year - if one-time cost, enter NONE).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding Source:</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund Balance:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RECOMMENDATION:** Deny fence variance application for 3526 Shaft, due to utilities present in the Public Utility Easement.

Daniel K. Bond / City Engineer  
6/14/16  

Ken Grey / City Manager  
6/16/2016  

**We** Ken Grey, City Manager **and** Isaac Moreno, Finance Manager  

*do hereby agree that the funding for the above is correct and that enough funds exist to cover the expenditure.*
Good morning Joan.

AT&T has conduits containing cables within the 10’ PUE on Nelson. Unfortunately, we cannot allow a fence to be built within the PUE.

The owners can, however, build their fence outside or north of the 10’ PUE.

Have a blessed day!

Geneva McJunkin

"Just as athletes must stay within the lines to compete in sports, those who are called to a higher calling must stay within the lines of worthiness"

AT&T Proprietary (Internal Use Only) Not for use or disclosure outside the AT&T companies except under written agreement. This message and any attachments to it contain confidential business information intended solely for the recipients. If you have received this email in error please do not forward or distribute it to anyone else, but telephone (559) 454-4697 to report the error, and then delete this message from your system.

Good morning.

Would you please look into this request from Joan at the City of Selma and reply?

Thank you so much.

Adam C. Mohler
Area Manager - Construction & Engineering
AT&T Technology Operations
(559) 454-4888
The property owner at 3526 Shaft Street in Selma is wanting to place a wooden fence 4’6” behind the sidewalk on the Nelson Blvd frontage of the property.

Please confirm that there are no utilities in the proposed fence line.

Thank you in advance for responding.

Joan
No problems with our service with this fence location

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 10, 2016, at 2:10 PM, Joan Ferrales <JoanF@cityofselma.com> wrote:

This is an EXTERNAL EMAIL. Stop and think before clicking a link or opening attachments.
The property owner at 3526 Shaft Street in Selma is wanting to place a wooden fence 4’6” behind the sidewalk on the Nelson Blvd frontage of the property.

Please confirm that there are no utilities in the proposed fence line.

Thank you in advance for responding.

Joan

Michael Markarian
Acting Local Manager
CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE
559-896-3417

calwater.com

This e-mail and any of its attachments may contain California Water Service Group proprietary information and is confidential. This e-mail is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail and then deleting it from your system.
3526 Shaft Street – Nelson Blvd frontage
3526 Shaft Street – Nelson Blvd frontage
3526 Shaft Street – Nelson Blvd frontage
3526 Shaft Street – Nelson Blvd frontage
3526 Shaft Street – Nelson Blvd frontage
CITY MANAGER'S/STAFF'S REPORT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING:

ITEM NO:  5

SUBJECT: Consideration and necessary action on request for Council Reorganization

DISCUSSION: The City Manager's Office received a request during the July 20, 2016 Council meeting from Council member Rodriguez to place this item on the agenda.

RECOMMENDATION: Staff is adhering to the request and has placed the matter on the agenda.

/s/ Greg Garner  
7/29/16

Greg Garner, Interim City Manager  
Date
ITEM NO: 

SUBJECT: First reading and introduction of Ordinance Amending Section 1 of Chapter 7 of Title I of the Selma Municipal Code entitled Mayor; Selection.

DISCUSSION: At least two members of the City Council are proposing to change the manner in which the Mayor is selected in the City of Selma. The change simply provides for a rotational system in which, at the beginning of the term specified in the Ordinance, the most senior Councilperson, and next most senior Councilperson shall occupy the offices of Mayor and Mayor Pro Tempore, respectively. At the first meeting in the same month of the following year, the Mayor Pro Tempore shall assume the office of Mayor and the person previously occupying the position of Mayor during the prior year shall be treated as the least senior of the Councilpersons for purposes of selection of the Mayor. The process will continue in that fashion, each and every year, except that if new members are elected, they are treated as having less seniority than every other Councilperson having more seniority and if there is more than one Councilperson having the same amount of seniority, the person who received the most votes at the most recent municipal election shall be treated as the more senior member for these purposes.

Anyone can opt out or pass on occupying the position of either Mayor Pro Tempore or Mayor, but if they do, they are relegated to be the least most senior member, for purposes of the selection of Mayor and Mayor Pro Tempore.

The attached Ordinance includes a blank space. That space is for the insertion of a date, which coincides with the first meeting of the City Council during a particular month each year. Because different Councilpersons have different requests concerning the setting of that date, the date has been left blank in the Ordinance that is attached so that the Council can discuss and decide upon the date on which the rotation will commence.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COST</th>
<th>BUDGET IMPACT:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>NONE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUNDING:</th>
<th>ON-GOING COST:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Funding Source: $N/A$</td>
<td>$N/A$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund Balance: $N/A$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RECOMMENDATION:** Waive first reading and introduction of Ordinance Amending Title I, Chapter 7, Section 1 of the Selma Municipal Code relating to the Mayor selection.

Neal E. Costanzo, City Attorney  
Date: 7.29.16
ORDINANCE NO. 2016-____

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SELMA
AMENDING SECTION 1, OF CHAPTER 7 OF TITLE I OF THE
SELMA MUNICIPAL CODE ENTITLED MAYOR SELECTION

WHEREAS, existing ordinances of the City of Selma relating to the selection of Mayor and Mayor Pro Tempore are in Title I, Chapter 7 of the Selma Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Selma desires to amend Section 1, Chapter 7 of Title I to provide for a rotational system of selecting a Mayor and mayor Pro Tempore, and for the rotation of members occupying that position on an annual basis; and

WHEREAS, Title I, Chapter 1, Section 3 of the Selma Municipal Code provides that an ordinance amending the Selma Municipal Code that sets forth the title, chapter or section number or the sections to be amended is sufficient compliance with any requirement pertaining to the amendment or revision by ordinance of any part of the Selma Municipal Code.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SELMA DOES HEREBY ORDAIN as follows:

SECTION 1: Section 1 of Chapter 7 of Title I of the Selma Municipal Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

“SECTION 1-7-1: MAYOR; SELECTION:

The offices' of Mayor and Mayor Pro Tempore shall be rotated among members of the City Council each year. At the first regular meeting of the City Council, in the month of _________ each year, one member of the City Council shall assume the office of Mayor, and another shall assume the office of Mayor Pro Tempore. At the first meeting of the City Council in the month of _________, each year, the most senior member of the City Council, in terms of length of continuous service on the City Council shall assume the office of Mayor and the member having the next longest term of service as a City Council Member shall assume the office of Mayor Pro Tempore. In the event members eligible to assume the office of Mayor and Mayor Pro Tempore are of equal seniority, the member who had received the most votes at the most recent Municipal election shall be treated as having more seniority than the other member. In the year following the year on which the most senior member of the City Council occupies the office of Mayor the Mayor Pro Tempore shall assume the office of Mayor and the Member occupying the office of Mayor during the prior year shall be treated as the least senior member of the City Council for purposes of applying this Ordinance only until such time as all remaining
members have occupied that office. In that same year the next most senior member shall assume the position of Mayor Pro Tempore.

Newly elected members shall be treated as having less seniority than those who remain on the City Council beyond their initial four year term. Any member may decline to serve in either the position of Mayor or Mayor Pro Tempore, in which case, such member shall be treated as having served one year as Mayor or Mayor Pro Tempore, as the case may be. It is the intent of this provision that the office of Mayor and Mayor Pro Tempore shall only be occupied by a member of the City Council for one year and that no member shall occupy the office of Mayor or Mayor Pro Tempore for more than one year during any five year period”.

SECTION 2: California Environmental Quality Act: The City Council having considered the Staff Report and all public comments, has determined that the amendment is not a project under the California Environmental Quality Act because the amendment has no potential for resulting in a physical change in the environment. Since the amendment is not a project, no environmental documentation is required.

SECTION 3: Severability: If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this Ordinance is for any reason held to be invalid or unconstitutional, the decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of the Ordinance. The City Council hereby declares that it would have passed this Ordinance, and each section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases have been declared invalid or unconstitutional.

SECTION 4: Effective Date and Posting of Ordinance: This Ordinance shall take effect and be in force thirty (30) days from and after the date of final passage. The city Clerk of the City of Selma shall cause this Ordinance to be published at least once within fifteen (15) days after its passage in The Selma News with the names of those City Council Members voting for or against the Ordinance.

**********

I, Reyna Rivera, Chief Deputy City Clerk of the City of Selma, do hereby certify that the foregoing Ordinance was introduced at the August 1, 2016, regular City Council meeting and passed at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Selma on the 15 day of August 2016, by the following vote, to wit:

2
AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS:

NOES: COUNCIL MEMBERS:

ABSENT: COUNCIL MEMBERS:

ATTEST: 

Mayor of the City of Selma

Reyna Rivera, City Clerk
July 25, 2016

Sanger Fire on 7/24/16

At approximately 2:36 am, units were dispatched to a large commercial fire at Academy and 11th Street in Sanger. Selma’s engine 110 and truck 111 and Chief 110 responded to the incident. We were some of the first units to arrive to a very large commercial fire that had enveloped an entire block. Units from multiple counties responded and spent the entire night and into the next day trying to get the fire under control. Selma’s ladder truck is still on scene 48 hours later continuing to suppress the fire. The fire at Initiative Foods is one of the largest fires that we have responded to in most of our careers. All of the fire agencies that responded did a heroic and fantastic job keeping the fire from spreading to adjoining neighborhoods, a car dealership, and a strip mall. Selma Fire crews were placed in an area to protect the strip mall, and auto dealership. Captain Jeremy Owens, Firefighter Ceasar Luna, Firefighter Anthony Rivas, Engineer Matt Begines, and Firefighter Ashley Riedel spent well over 8 hours in the direct path of the fire, experiencing heavy fire and extreme heat. This crew did an outstanding job and we should be extremely proud of their efforts to save a neighboring community.

A copy of this along with pictures of the incident will be placed in these employees files. The Selma Fire Department trains and prepares for these once in a lifetime incidents and this training was evident during this horrific and costly fire. We pray for the City of Sanger and Initiative Foods that they can recover quickly and move forward.

As a Fire Chief of the City of Selma I could not be prouder of our staff and their actions that night.

Sincerely,

Michael Kain

Fire Chief